

Annual Index – 2003

As a service to the readership, **Police Labor Monthly** has indexed certain sections that appeared in the newsletter during 2003. All of the material is noted by month and page number of appearance. Thus, a reference to “Callanan v. McCall 5:10” means the case is found in the May issue beginning

on page ten. Litigation material is indexed by both case name and subject. Back issues of **Police Labor Monthly** may also be researched at www.justex.com/PLM.html index utilizing the subscriber user name and password. Keyword searches may be conducted by this method.

Salary Tracker

Chief Salaries – February
Police Officer– August

Settlements

Amarillo, Texas police officers 11:14
Annapolis, Maryland police officers 1:12, 2:10
Arlington Heights, Illinois police officers 4:14
Billings, Montana police officers 12:10
Buffalo, New York police officers 7:14
Cape Coral, Florida police officers 4:14
Carlsbad, New Mexico police officers 5:12
Chambersburg, Pennsylvania police officers 2:10
Chico, California police officers 1:12
Clearwater, Florida police officers 12:10
Corpus Christi, Texas police officers 9:11
Dade City, Florida police officers 7:14
Detroit, Michigan police officers 10:13
Detroit, Michigan sergeants and lieutenants 5:12
Euclid, Ohio police officers 1:12
Fairborn, Ohio police officers 6:12
Fairfax County, Virginia police officers 6:12
Fairview, New Jersey 10:13
Henderson, Nevada police officers 9:12
Homestead, Pennsylvania police officers 6:12
Houston, Texas police officers 4:14
Howard County, Maryland police officers 9:12
Huber Heights, Ohio police officers 3:15
Kenner, Louisiana police officers 11:14
Laredo, Texas police officers 3:15, 5:12
Los Angeles, California police officers 9:12
Marblehead, Massachusetts police officers 3:15
McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania police officers 3:16
Nashua, New Hampshire police officers 11:14
Nassau County, New York police officers 10:14

New Orleans, Louisiana police officers 1:12
North Kingstown, Rhode Island police officers 3:16
Omaha, Nebraska police officers 3:16
Palatine, Illinois police officers 2:10
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania police officers 4:14
Ramsey, New Jersey police officers 11:14
San Antonio, Texas police officers 12:10
San Diego, California police officers 3:16
San Jose, California police officers 9:12
Schenectady, New York police officers 5:12
Syracuse, New York police officers 11:14
Tipp City, Ohio police officers 7:14
Tulsa, Oklahoma police officers 8:10
West Warwick, Rhode Island 8:10

Case topical index

Arbitrator’s authority 3:13, 5:7, 6:10
Back pay 9:6
Bargaining subjects 3:11, 3:12, 9:7, 11:10
Civil liability 6:8, 8:9, 10:9, 12:4
Compensation 10:10, 11:6, 11:13, 12:7, 12:8
Constructive Discharge 6:5
Defamation 1:6, 2:9, 4:4, 9:5, 11:11
Demotion 9:9, 11:12
Disability eligibility 6:9, 6:10, 10:10
Disciplinary grounds 5:9, 7:3, 9:5
Disciplinary procedures 1:7, 4:9, 4:12, 5:10, 6:7, 7:12, 8:7, 10:8, 12:8, 12:9
Dismissal grounds 1:4, 1:5, 3:5, 3:7, 4:6, 5:10, 8:8, 9:8, 10:7, 11:4, 11:5, 12:5, 12:6
Dismissal procedures 1:10, 1:11, 3:4, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, 3:13, 4:10, 5:5, 6:4, 6:11, 7:6, 7:8, 8:7, 10:4, 10:11
Fireman’s rule 3:14, 5:6, 8:9
Free speech 2:5, 5:4
Garrity rights 8:4

Grievance arbitration 4:10
Grievance procedures 10:12
Grooming standards 3:6, 7:5
Layoffs 1:10
Open meetings 6:8
Open records 7:9
Overtime 6:7, 8:5, 8:6, 9:9
Political rights 4:7
Privacy rights 3:7
Promotion procedures 7:11, 9:3
Race discrimination 7:4
Religious discrimination 10:3
Residency requirement 7:9, 7:11
Selection procedures 10:5
Sex discrimination 2:7, 11:8
Sick leave 1:8, 3:9
Substance abuse testing 1:9, 2:4
Transfer 2:6, 4:8, 12:3
Weingarten rights 7:13, 10:11, 11:9
Whistleblowing 4:5
Worker's compensation 2:8, 5:8, 5:10, 7:8, 9:7

Case name index

Ackerman v. City of Columbus, Georgia 11:6
Annis v. Oklahoma Law Enforcement Retirement Board 12:3
Ardito v. City of Providence, Rhode Island 10:5
Arndt v. Koby 2:5, 5:4, 6:4
Atlanta, City of v. Lane 7:8
Atteberry v. Illinois Department of State Police 2:7
Bagnola v. SmithKline Beecham Clinical Laboratories 1:9
Banks v. New Orleans Police Department 3:8
Baron v. Hickey 6:5
Barnthouse v. City of Edmond 11:12
Bass v. Richards 1:5
Beaudette v. Eau Claire County Sheriff's Department 11:13
Berry v. Department of Public Safety and Corrections 5:9
Blando v. City of Houston, Texas 11:3
Booker v. City of St. Louis, Missouri 2:4, 7:4, 11:3
Booth v. State of Maryland, Dept. of Public Safety and Correctional Services 7:5

Brittle v. City of Boston 9:6
Brockton Police Association v. City of Brockton 7:9
Brown v. City of Detroit, Michigan 9:3
Calcoa v. County of San Diego 1:7
California, State of v. Paige 2:4
Callanan v. McCall 5:10
Cambridge, City of v. Cambridge Police Officers Association 10:10
Chamberlain v. City of Portland 2:10
Citizens Police Review Board v. Murphy 7:12
Cochran v. Barnthouse 11:3, 12:3
Cole-Hatchard v. McCall 9:7
Cook v. City and County of Denver 8:7
Coralsville, Civil Service Commission of v. Johnson 3:7
Cornett v. City of Omaha Police and Fire Retirement System 10:10
Cotter v. City of Boston, Massachusetts 11:3
Danforth, Gloria, In grievance of 4:10
Dirrane v. Brookline, Massachusetts, Police Department 4:5
Dixon v. City of New Richmond, Wisconsin 10:4
Duncan v. City of Highland Board of Police and Fire Commissioners 8:8
Dwan v. City of Boston, Massachusetts 8:4
Eakins v. State of Nevada 1:6
Eddings v. City of Hot Springs, Arkansas 6:4
Elder v. City of Houston, Texas 6:4, 7:4
Endres v. Indiana State Police 10:3, 12:6
Esposito v. Police and Fireman's Retirement System 6:9
Evans v. DeRidder, Louisiana, Municipal Fire & Police Civil Service Board 1:4, 3:4
Ft. Wayne, City of v. Slattery 10:8
Garland, City of v. Byrd 6:10
Gaumond v. City of Melissa, Texas 3:4
Guthery v. Taylor 12:9
Hardric v. City of Stevenson 8:7
Harris County Sheriff's Office v. Negrete 7:8
Hernandez v. Borough of Palisades Park, New Jersey 11:3, 12:3
Houston Police Officers' Union v. City of Houston, Texas 8:5
James v. City of Coronado 6:7

- Johnson v. Buffalo, New York, Police Department 7:4, 8:4
- Jones v. Board of Regents of the University of Georgia 12:5
- Jones v. Congemi 10:9
- Jordan v. Soloman 12:8
- Jorg v. Cincinnati Black United Front 11:11
- Joseph v. Salt Lake City Civil Service Commission 5:4, 6:4, 8:4, 11:3
- Kaplan v. City of North Las Vegas, Nevada 12:3
- Keansburg, Borough of, In the matter of special police officers 1:10
- Kearney v. Town of Wareham, Massachusetts 4:6
- Kiser v. Lowe 5:5
- Kennedy v. Civil Service Commission of Council Bluffs 4:9
- Kraus v. Waukesha Police and Fire Commission 9:9
- Lafleur v. City of New Orleans 3:0
- Locurto v. Giuliani 11:5
- Louviere v. Louviere 6:8
- Lynch v. City of Cedar Rapids 5:8
- Madigan v. Telemarketing Associates, Inc. 6:2
- Macklin v. City of New Orleans, Louisiana 1:4, 2:4, 3:4
- Mansoor v. Trank 5:4
- Marti v. Kerik 12:8
- Marticiuc v. City of Houston, Texas 11:3
- McClure v. Augustus 1:10
- McGreal v. Ostrov 3:5
- McMillian v. Kerik 10:11
- Meaney v. Deaver 7:3
- Mercer v. Brunt 12:3
- Mercer v. City of Cedar Rapids, Iowa 1:4
- Midwest City, City of v. Public Employees Relations Board 9:7
- Molina v. City of Miami 6:8
- Monroe County Deputy Sheriff's Assn. and Monroe County, In rearbitration 4:10
- Myers v. Shaver 7:6
- Nashua Police Commission, Appeal of 11:10
- North Kingstown, Town of v. Local 473, International Brotherhood of Police Officers 7:13
- Northlake, City of v. Illinois Fraternal Order of Police Labor Council, Lodge 18 1:8
- Nueces County v. Hoff 9:9
- O'Hara v. Menino 8:6
- Oklahoma City, Oklahoma v. Smith 11:3
- Oklahoma County, Oklahoma v. Sherwood 4:3, 7:4
- Olson v. Borough of Avalon 3:13
- Pappas v. Bloomberg 6:4, 8:4
- Paterson, City of, In the matter of Police Sergeant 7:11
- Patrolmen's Benevolent Association of the City of New York v. City of New York 2:6, 5:4, 5:7
- Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board 10:11
- Pennsylvania State Police v. Suders 11:3
- Pennsylvania State Troopers Association v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board 3:12
- Perez v. Miami-Dade County, Florida 1:4, 2:4
- Philadelphia Housting Authority v. The Fraternal Order of Housing Police 3:13
- Reno, City of v. Reno Police Protective Association 3:11
- Riggs v. City of Fort Worth, Texas 3:6
- Rodriguez v. Panayiotou 4:4
- Rossignol v. Voorhaar 4:7
- San Diego Police Officers Association v. City of San Diego Civil Service Commission 3:7
- Sangirardi v. Village of Stickney 10:7
- Sewell v. Norris 3:10
- Small v. City of New York, New York 12:4
- Smith v. Commissioners of City of St. Louis 12:7
- Stabler v. City of Mobile 9:5
- State of Hawaii Organization of Police Officers v. Hawaii County Police Department 5:7
- Swanson v. Livingston County 11:8
- Tarrant County v. Van Sickle 6:11
- Taylor v. Keith 11:4
- Thomas v. City of Springfield 2:8
- Thompson v. New Orleans Police Department 9:5
- Trager v. Kampe 7:11
- Trousdell v. Cannon 3:14
- Upland Police Officers Association v. City of Upland 11:9
- Utah County v. Alexanderson 10:12
- Vanderpool v. Pace 6:7
- Virginia Department of State Police v. Barton 4:12

Waldron v. McCall 6:10
Wagstaff v. City of Durham, North Carolina 4:8
Washington County Police Officers' Association
v. Washington County 5:10, 9:8
Watson v. City of East Orange 5:10
Williams v. City of New York 8:9
Williams v. Hansen 7:4, 11:3
Wisconsin, State of, ex rel. Heil v. Green Bay Police
and Fire Commission 1:11
Wolfe v. Anne Arundel County 8:9
Worcester Telegram & Gazette Corp. v. Chief of
Police Worcester 7:9
Yamaguchi v. Harnsmut 5:6

Special Feature

Comparative Compensation: How to Prepare Your
Own Wage Survey – May
Finding Legal Cases – November

Labor Lexicon

1983 suit 6:1
Confidential employees 5:1
CPI 4:1
Gievebacks 9:1
Impasse 2:1
Managerial employees 5:1
Me too clause 3:1
Residency requirement 10:1
Respondeat superior 8:1
Sovereign immunity 12:1
Substantial evidence rule 7:1
Zipper clause 1:1

Searching the PLM Archives

As you use this annual index, please remember that **Police Labor Monthly** has deployed an improved *search engine* in our on-line newsletter archives. This search engine employs several new features that give you faster and more comprehensive results. A keyword inquiry will produce all issues from 1996 forward in which the requested word or phrase appears in any section of the newsletter. After clicking on the selected issue, use the “find” command in your web browser to locate the specific article containing the inquiry. You will be taken to the exact point in the issue where the word or phrase is located. More recent newsletters are posted in the Adobe Acrobat format (pdf), which means that the Archive version is identical in layout to the print version subscribers receive.

We invite you to visit the **PLM** Archives website and search the Archives for yourself. You can link directly to the archives by going to <http://www.justex.com/PLM/>. Remember that beginning this month, your password is found in the newsletter you received.

If you have difficulty accessing the archives, please feel free to contact Customer Service Representative Patsy Collins at patsy@justex.com or 800-842-5203.

